

Remarks/Arguments:

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed September 7, 2006.

Claims 1-51 were pending in the Application prior to the outstanding Office Action. In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-52. The present Response amends claims 1-11, 13-22, 24-31, 33-41, 43 and 45-51, leaving for the Examiner's present consideration claims 1-51. Reconsideration of the rejections is requested.

Claims 1 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 1 has been amended to be statutory. Claim 41 is a signal claim that is not allowed under the Interim Section 101 rules. This claim is being kept in the application pending court decisions about these types of claims.

Claim 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Stone et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,804,686 filed September 10, 2002.

The Claims have been amended to claim web pages and web page groups to distinguish the UML system of Stone et al.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Date: January 11, 2007

Respectfully submitted,
By: /Joseph P. O'Malley/
Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 362-3800